



CIVILIZATION MODERNITY AND CONTINUAL TRANQUILITY

Warty Sayady

Ph.D. Student Of International Relations – Akdeniz University, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Preventing war and expanding international tranquillity has always been one of the major concerns of the scientific and academic circles of international relations and therefore the recognition of the nature and discourse governing international relations is of particular importance. On the other hand the new phenomenon of modernity is a very influential element in the relations between the state and social forces which has particularly affected the human political social and civilization arenas in the past two decades. And in the meantime international tranquillity can spread as much as possible through the expansion of civilization ties. Therefore the present paper seeks to explore the role of modernity of political culture in global tranquillity expansion as one of the new areas in studies related to modernity. Obviously the present paper seeks to answer the question of how modernity of political culture has had an impact on international tranquillity.

KEYWORDS: - Modernity of Political Culture, Global Tranquillity, International Relations, Democracy, Human Rights.

INTRODUCTION

In 1990, when David Davies established the International Section his goal was to prevent war, twenty years later the field witnessed commitments to change the world based on normative views and the purpose of academic studies in this field was to make the world a better place, in this regard an idealistic vision emerged in the perspective of how the world should be, and the opposite view which is famous for realism deals with the world as it is, but the common ground in all the academic affairs of international relations is the concern for tranquillity and the avoidance of war in international relations. In the contemporary world with the end of the Second World War the process of modernity has begun and day by day is increasing its speed and power to change the world and today it has such an abundant

potential that has influenced all aspects of human life and caused big changes. "In the context of the Third World Order cosmopolitanism is becoming an important force in the world in the form of culture, civil society ties, transnational societies and technologies", where mass media, transnational corporations, the flow of human beings, tourism,... and the growing interconnectedness of the economy, culture, politics have been created, it is no longer possible to speak of politics among governments only by ignoring transnational actors, social networks, and political institutions that restrict the nation government. In addition, international cooperation formed after the end of the Second World War, developed beyond most of the traditional areas of economic exchanges and military security and includes issues such as the environment, health, and crime which go far beyond the national borders [2]. Modernity and



global networks have changed the way of diplomacy, military issues, economic relations between countries, the role of NGOs and intergovernmental organizations in international relations. [3] Based on the effects of modernity in human life different branches of this process have been taken such as modernity of the economy, modernity of politics, modernity of culture and modernity of security; but modernity from the viewpoint of political culture which the most important elements of it are "democracy", "human rights", "pluralism", and civilization "negligence and tolerance", has greatly influenced international tranquility and changed its quality. In the context of modernity in the field of culture and along with it political cultures has been shaped by dual themes, some believe that civilization modernity is conducive to the proximity of cultures and homogeneity among nations and contributes to the strengthening of global tranquility. But the other emphasis is on expanding the diversity and civilization complexities of modernity, although, each of these spectra of thoughts offers some arguments, but the debates continue on this issue. For this, present study seeking and examining the role and effect of "modernity of political culture" on international tranquility in the new global age, we also will answer this: How has global political culture influenced international tranquility? The hypothesis in the answer to the main question of the present paper is that: The modernity of political culture has brought about a convergence of interdisciplinary fields among humankind and reduced the ideological contradictions between civilizations and given that the major wars and conflicts of human history have been ideological wars in the modern world, the roots of classical and macro wars have been dying in the international system and more tranquility and stability has dominated the international system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

There are various ways to deal with modernity, every one of these methodologies knows and distinguishes modernity in an unexpected way, and they come to different even opposing outcomes. Meanwhile the school of schools and opponent flows attempt to mention to us what sort of ways or elements carries us to the ideal objective. The significant thing during the time spent turn of events and development of modernity is the arrangement of components of it and its progressive association with the political and social arrangement of the general population, truth be told while modernity has had a financial rationale yet after some time it has become a social framework. The Global Age is entering an enormous local area that has basically been out of condition of conclusion, having geology, and local structure. There has been a sort of association among public and transnational components that are currently connected to the modernity of correspondences. The new world is an associated world and the new age is the period of availability. In this manner modernity is a triangle that is made out of three interrelated monetary, political and social settings and its optional rationale in singular way of thinking is to record and develop the extent of individual opportunities in the headway of the misuse of offices. In the political field the center of the democratization of the inner and worldwide political-social settings is to compress and slowly dispose of dictator and authoritarian frameworks, and as Fukuyama says: "The pragmatic result of modernity in the space of strategy of shutting autocracy and extremist philosophies is to legitimize oppression and subjugation of people and what else won't return is tyranny and authoritarian belief systems that after Sept. 11 have been shown that if there is any sign of it, the world will remain against it". Hence it is important first to



introduce an overall hypothesis of the two masterminds of innovation; Ulrich Beck and Anthony Giddens, and the reason for modernity in their suppositions. These two shield a couple of clarifications of the purposes behind modernity which they consider to be the aftereffect of advancement and rejects the understanding of the Marxist single reason based on which free enterprise is the power of the development of modernity. Giddenswo considers the to be as the aftereffect of the elements of advancement which contains what he calls depending on social connections through spatial separating and review utilization of information. He changes between the time of advancement and the prior periods. Beck like Giddenswo accepted that we are living in a time of review advancement and not the time of post modernity. The key idea that Beck advances in new present day articulation is reflexive modernization. He depicted this idea with Giddenswo during the 1990s. Reflection Modernization is an endeavor to develop and reformulate the demeanor of the thorough local area as a social hypothesis with an accentuation on political-social viewpoints.

This methodology analyzes the polarity between modern development and worldwide culture. The quality of modern civilization is the thorough society. A general public that creates perils in the change from a cutting edge time that is to a great extent undetected. Close by mechanical human advancement we are confronted with a worldwide culture that is fairly acquainted with the threats of modern development and looks for in any event hypothetical level to defeat these risks. The making of social orders as a state-country dependent on the rationale of free enterprise and industry, the arrangement of huge gatherings and societal position are the principal present day underlying signs that went with the ecological emergency, self-improvement, the

work emergency and the cycles of modernity that are currently progressively losing their validity. These advancements make it workable for the principles of organizing the requesting inside states and the guidelines administering the goal of contentions between governments from void and new elements and reaches out to the degree that these conditions are viewed as a typical circumstance. The second present day in the present circumstance is framed to give the suitable arrangements in the present circumstance. Beck considers these arrangements the "democratization of constructions", "the local area of residents", and "globalism". As of now one can see the rise of another world request that prompts the rise of a sort of transnational or metropolitan state.

Undermining the job of the state and the power of the state: Many experts have connected the improvement of worldwide relations with the debilitating of the public government and the breakdown of the public government and the retreat of the public authority and others have gone and reported the emergency of the public government and the termination of the public government however before them scholars have underscored that modernity has not effectively sabotage the authority of public governments yet indeed the public authority is vigorously affected by the marvel of modernity and political, social and monetary exercises are progressively getting transnational. The truth of the matter is that, with the breakdown of the bipolar framework and the development of modernity governments were more helpless, more limited power, more penetrable lines, and government authority confronted genuine difficulties. In the present circumstance the state is avoided with regards to a regional structure and restricted to a specific international circumstance and a significant number of the examples of conduct are not, at this point subject to the situation with a given



land truly. Under such conditions individuals as one of the principle components of the constitution of the state are not dependent upon a particular character and government unit and don't believe themselves to be a solitary public and state way of life as majority and assortment of personalities. Lessening residents' dependability to the public government and moving faithfulness to supranational and worldwide organizations can be viewed as different impacts of this change inside the system of the state which has changed the interface among residents and governments and prompted the reduced patriotism, the most noticeable models are Kurds, the Welsh, Catalans in Spain, and Quebec in Canada. The fundamental justification these improvements are because of the deficiency of imposing business model of importance by governments in the time of modernity. By and large, the debilitating of the political sway of governments has prompted the development of the force of nongovernmental foundations and subsequently the pressing factor of governments to seek after another conflict isn't just about as compelling as the past. Thus, the strain to advance harmony at the worldwide level has been moving through the debilitating of the political power of governments in the global field. Human rights: International common freedoms are globalized and work past all state boundaries and components. These rights are among the talks, all things considered, and all administration associations that address the two elites and mistreated the two associations and social orders. Common liberties are likewise essential for modernity. Once the administrations, with the individuals who were in their ward would have acted in any capacity and with the case that basic freedoms are inside their homegrown purview and that each state alone is mindful. Governments additionally opposed the evaluate of their activities however in the new period common freedoms are a

grounded part of worldwide law with an institutional design and widespread extension. Each single state has approved an arrangement that incorporates responsibilities for the assurance of basic liberties. Presently, basic freedoms have gotten a bunch of rules for the talk of the worldwide local area. Political, monetary, social, and social responses all through the world happen in the field of basic liberties and nations have acknowledged at any rate on a basic level that the advancement and security of all basic freedoms involve worry for the global local area.

CONCLUSION

In another worldwide setting, space for inter civilization experiences and contacts is undeniably more intriguing than previously. Modernity through new explanation offers hints and significance to ideas, new characters and implications of man, culture, and social connection addressing it as reality and objectivity of life. The chance of a world without borders has gotten so enormous that there is a typical political culture around here in various social orders to the degree that legislatures have philosophical responsibilities and shared interests they will be set up to focus and decentralize the sway of the state just as to kill the security and guard wildernesses. Modernity has had the option to end the exemplary battle in the field of human philosophy. While philosophical fighting keeps on being on the worldwide stage, yet not quite the same as the past. All in all, the philosophies that arrange the conflict in the time of modernity are not as memorable as the past. In the time of modernity any belief system and culture that is amidst war slaughter and logical inconsistency can't grow and become history. In any case, the incredible forces don't plan to battle one another and the



development of such a conflict is improbable. Today the worldwide climate has gotten totally different and more intricate in examination with past ages worries about the danger of worldwide conflict and the conflict of human advancements are gone, and fears of such conflicts in the global local area are not felt. Consequently, it tends to be said that modernity has gotten an obstacle to war and has exacerbated the security of the past. Consequently, the ideas of aggregate security in the advanced world have gotten more reasonable in nature and it appears to be that the worldwide local area, the local area of states, the United Nations and legislative and nongovernmental foundations in the battle against illegal intimidation until its conclusive annihilation and full acknowledgment.

Strategic Research, Tehran, 2005, p. 23. (In Persian)

6. F. FUKUYAMA, "History Is actually Going our direction", Wall Street Journal, Friday, October 5, 2001, P. 30.

REFERENCES

1. M. GHEZELSOFLA, "Theory of Political Philosophy: Theoretical Conflicts in the Age of Modernity", Tehran, Roshd-e-Amozesh press, 2011, p. 86.
2. J. SMITH, "Transnational Processes and developments", the Blackwell Companion to Social Movements, (Eds , D. Snow et al), Blackwell, 2007, p. 311.
3. J. BAYLIS, The Modernity of World Politics: A prologue to worldwide relations, Oxford University Press, 2020, p. 123.
4. M. GHEZELSOFLA, "Theory of Political Philosophy: Theoretical Conflicts in the Age of Modernity", Tehran, Roshd-e-Amozesh press, 2011, p. 80. (In Persian)
5. M. SARI-OL-QALAM, Iran and Modernity: Challenges and Solutions Center for