CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF HISTORY (ISSN: 2767-472X)

VOLUME: Vol.06 Issue10 2025
Page: - 01-08

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Historic Water Management In The Alps: Sustaining Irrigation Through Collective Action

Prof. Thomas Berger

Institute of Social Anthropology, University of Zurich, Switzerland

Dr. Markus Schmid

Department of History, University of Basel, Switzerland

Received: 03 August 2025 **Accepted:** 02 September 2025 **Published:** 01 October 2025

ABSTRACT

This paper reviews traditional and modern irrigation systems and their role in promoting sustainable agriculture and resource management across diverse geographical contexts, including dryland areas, montane regions, and mountainous environments such as the Swiss Alps and the Hindukush-Karakoram-Himalaya. Through an interdisciplinary synthesis of empirical studies, institutional analyses, and game-theoretic approaches, the study explores governance mechanisms, collective action, and socio-economic impacts of irrigation practices. Special emphasis is placed on indigenous management systems, institutional resource regimes, and cooperative behavior in managing common-pool resources. The paper also discusses challenges related to water allocation, social dilemmas, and modernization pressures. Findings highlight the importance of community involvement, adaptive institutional frameworks, and ecological considerations for maintaining irrigation infrastructure and achieving agricultural sustainability.

Keywords: Traditional irrigation systems, sustainable agriculture, water resource management, common-pool resources, collective action, institutional governance, indigenous knowledge, mountain agriculture, game theory, water allocation, socio-economic impacts, irrigation modernization.

INTRODUCTION

Traditional irrigation systems, often known as "bisses" or "Suonen" in the Swiss Alps, represent a remarkable testament to collective action and resource management [2, 48, 49, 53, 54, 55]. These intricate networks of open channels, meticulously carved into steep mountain slopes, have been vital for agricultural productivity in arid Alpine valleys for centuries, particularly in the canton of Valais [2, 23, 47, 53, 57]. Despite facing numerous challenges, including socioeconomic shifts, rural land abandonment [22, 62], and the allure of modern alternatives, many of these systems continue to operate through cooperative efforts [26, 51]. This article explores the enduring principles of self-governance and collective action that underpin the successful management and maintenance of these traditional irrigation infrastructures in the Swiss Alps, drawing insights from established theories of common-pool resource management.

The sustainability of shared resources, such as water for irrigation, is a classic "social dilemma" where individual self-interest can potentially lead to collective ruin if not properly managed [42, 43, 44]. Traditional irrigation systems globally, from the complex networks in the Andes [4] to the Aflaj system in Oman [6] and the small-scale practices in Ethiopia [5], demonstrate various forms of indigenous management that have historically overcome these challenges [7, 8, 9]. However, the continued viability of such systems in developed nations, where modern infrastructure, shifting economic priorities, and changing

demographics often prevail, presents a unique and compelling case study [26]. Switzerland, often referred to as a "water tower of Europe" due to its abundant hydrological resources [45, 46], still relies on these traditional systems for specific agricultural practices, particularly for irrigating meadows and pastures in the Valais region [23, 24, 51]. The central question guiding this inquiry is: how do these communities successfully govern, maintain, and adapt these critical infrastructures in the face of evolving societal and economic landscapes [26, 27, 60]?

This study aims to investigate the factors contributing to the remarkable resilience and continued cooperative management of these traditional irrigation systems in the Swiss Alps. By examining the operational principles, institutional arrangements, and the crucial role of social capital and evolving cultural values, we seek to understand why these systems, unlike many other common-pool resources that have faced decline or privatization, continue to thrive as examples of sustainable collective action [19, 20, 21, 26].

METHODS

This research employs a comprehensive synthesis of existing scholarly literature, including peer-reviewed articles, academic reports, and historical accounts specifically pertaining to traditional irrigation systems in the Swiss Alps, with a particular focus on the canton of Valais [2, 23, 27, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60]. The theoretical framework for analysis is primarily grounded in the seminal work of Elinor Ostrom and her collaborators on the governance of common-pool resources [16, 19, 20, 21]. Key concepts such as Ostrom's eight design principles for robust common-pool resource institutions, the dynamics of repeated interactions in fostering cooperation, and the intricate interplay between individual incentives and collective outcomes were central to the analytical approach [19, 21, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35].

The specific case of the "bisses" of Valais serves as the primary empirical context for this study [2, 48, 53, 54, 55]. Detailed information regarding their historical development, the specific operational rules governing water distribution, the traditional maintenance practices, and the contemporary challenges they face was systematically extracted and analyzed from the identified scholarly works and regional studies [47, 50, 59, 60]. The analysis also incorporated broader socioeconomic trends impacting the Swiss Alps, including patterns of land use change and abandonment [22, 62], as well as the influence of national and cantonal agricultural policies [24, 64].

To assess the cooperative aspects and the mechanisms of collective action, principles derived from game theory, particularly those concerning repeated games and the evolution of cooperation, were implicitly applied [17, 18, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. The focus was on identifying the endogenous mechanisms and informal norms that foster and maintain collective action among the diverse group of water users, even in the absence of strong external enforcement [36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. This involved examining how communities manage to overcome social dilemmas and sustain cooperation over generations [42, 43].

RESULTS

The sustained operation and successful management of traditional irrigation systems in the Swiss Alps, particularly the "bisses" in the Valais region, can be attributed to a confluence of factors that strongly align with and exemplify established theories of common-pool resource governance. These factors demonstrate the effectiveness of self-organized institutions in managing shared natural resources:

1. Clearly Defined Boundaries and Membership: A fundamental characteristic of these systems is the precise delineation of both the resource itself (the water flow within a specific bisse) and the user group [19, 47]. The rights to use water are typically well-defined and often historically tied to specific land parcels or agricultural holdings, ensuring that it is clear who is entitled to water and who is excluded [53, 54, 59]. This clarity minimizes ambiguity and potential disputes over water access, which is a critical design principle for robust common-pool resource institutions [19].

2. Congruence Between Rules and Local Conditions: The rules governing water allocation, maintenance duties, and conflict resolution are highly adapted to the specific hydrological conditions of each bisse and the unique social and agricultural context of the local community [3, 21, 47, 59]. Water turns, for instance, are often precisely timed and rotated, reflecting the available water supply, the varying needs of different crops or meadows, and the topography of the irrigated area [50, 59]. This localized adaptation of

rules, rather than a rigid, "one-size-fits-all" approach, is crucial for both efficiency and perceived fairness among users [3, 21].

3. Collective-Choice Arrangements: Users of the bisses are actively involved in the creation, modification, and enforcement of their operational rules [19, 54, 59]. This participatory approach ensures that the rules are perceived as legitimate, equitable, and practical, fostering a strong sense of ownership and shared responsibility among the irrigators [60]. Decisions regarding major repairs, water distribution schedules, or changes in management are typically made through communal meetings or by elected representatives, embodying the principle that those affected by rules should have a significant voice in their formulation [19].

4. Monitoring and Enforcement: The relatively small scale of these communities and the visible nature of water flow within the open channels facilitate effective and low-cost monitoring of rule adherence [19, 47, 59]. Deviations from established rules, such as unauthorized water abstraction or neglect of maintenance duties, are easily observable by other users within the close-knit community [53]. Furthermore, internal mechanisms for sanctioning rule-breakers exist, ranging from informal social pressure and ostracism to more formal penalties imposed by the water user association, known as a "consortage" [38, 39, 40, 41, 59].

5. Graduated Sanctions: Penalties for rule violations are typically graduated, starting with minor reprimands or warnings for first offenses and escalating to more severe consequences, such as temporary suspension of water rights or fines, for repeat offenders [19, 40, 59]. This approach allows for flexibility and encourages compliance without immediately resorting to harsh measures that could destabilize the cooperative framework, thereby promoting long-term cooperation [37].

6. Accessible Conflict-Resolution Mechanisms: Disputes over water allocation, maintenance responsibilities, or perceived rule violations are usually resolved within the community through established informal and formal mechanisms [19, 59]. This localized dispute resolution process, often involving respected community elders or elected bisse officials, prevents the need for costly and time-consuming external legal interventions and allows for solutions tailored to the specific context of the bisse and its users [17].

7. Minimum Recognition of Rights to Organize: While not always formally recognized by external governmental authorities in a top-down manner, the local communities have the de facto right, and often historical precedent, to organize and manage their bisses autonomously [19, 26, 54, 59]. This autonomy is vital for their ability to adapt to changing circumstances, maintain self-reliance, and effectively enforce their own rules without undue external interference.

8. Nesting of Enterprises: In some instances, the management of a specific bisse might be nested within larger, multi-layered institutional arrangements for water management at a regional or cantonal level [14, 27]. This allows for coordination and potential support (e.g., for major infrastructure projects or legal recognition) while preserving local autonomy in the day-to-day operations and rule-making processes of the individual bisse associations [14, 27].

9. Social Capital and Reciprocity: Beyond formal rules and institutional structures, a strong sense of community, mutual trust, and ingrained reciprocity among users plays a significant and often underestimated role in sustaining cooperation [12, 13, 15]. The long history of shared dependency on these water systems has fostered robust social networks and a deeply embedded culture of mutual aid, particularly evident during collective maintenance periods (e.g., clearing channels after winter snowmelt or repairing damage) [53, 59, 60]. This "generalized reciprocity" is a powerful, informal force in overcoming collective action problems that formal rules alone might not fully address [32, 36, 37].

10. Evolving Economic and Cultural Value: While the primary function of the bisses remains agricultural irrigation, these systems have increasingly gained recognition for their significant ecological and cultural value [25, 50, 61]. Tourism, in particular, has seen the bisses promoted as unique historical hiking trails, contributing to their preservation through external funding, public awareness campaigns, and volunteer efforts [52, 58, 59, 61]. This diversified value proposition adds another layer of incentive for their upkeep, moving beyond purely agricultural considerations and providing additional resources for maintenance and restoration [25, 62].

DISCUSSION

The case of the Swiss Alpine irrigation systems offers

compelling and robust evidence for the enduring power and effectiveness of cooperative management in common-pool resources. The remarkable success and continued operation of these systems, despite the pervasive pressures of modernization, changing agricultural practices, and rural depopulation, strongly corroborate Elinor Ostrom's empirically derived design principles for robust common-pool resource institutions [16, 19, 20]. The long history of collective action has fostered not only formal institutional arrangements but also strong social norms and a high degree of interpersonal trust, which are absolutely critical for overcoming potential "free-rider" problems inherent in shared resources [31, 32, 42, 43]. The ability of these local communities to self-organize, devise, and effectively enforce their own rules, with minimal reliance on external, top-down enforcement mechanisms, is a key determinant of their resilience and sustainability [20, 21].

The observed shift in some areas towards a more multi-functional appreciation of the bisses, encompassing their value for tourism, biodiversity, and cultural heritage alongside traditional agriculture, highlights a dynamic and adaptive management strategy [25, 50, 52, 58, 61]. This broadened value proposition offers crucial new avenues for funding, public support, and volunteer engagement, potentially bolstering the long-term sustainability of these systems even as the direct agricultural dependency might lessen in certain regions due to economic changes [23, 24, 62, 64]. This phenomenon resonates strongly with the concept of "payments for ecosystem services," where the environmental, cultural, and recreational benefits provided by these traditional systems are increasingly recognized and, in some cases, compensated, providing additional incentives for their maintenance [63].

However, it is imperative to acknowledge that significant challenges persist. Issues such as the ongoing trend of land abandonment in remote mountain areas [22, 62], the aging demographic of traditional farmers and the difficulty in attracting younger generations to labor-intensive agricultural practices, and the increasing cost of material and labor for maintenance in a modern economy pose ongoing threats to the long-term viability of some bisses [24, 51]. The inherent tension between preserving centuries-old traditional practices and adapting to contemporary societal needs and economic realities represents a constant and delicate balancing act for these communities. Nevertheless, the deeply ingrained institutional structures, the strong social fabric, and the adaptive capacity demonstrated by these bisse associations provide a robust foundation for addressing these evolving challenges.

The Swiss experience with its traditional irrigation systems offers invaluable lessons for water resource management and common-pool resource governance globally. It unequivocally underscores that successful and sustainable governance of shared resources often hinges on the development of tailored, locally-driven institutions that actively foster participation, enable effective monitoring, and enforce rules through a system of graduated sanctions [3, 21]. Furthermore, this case study vividly highlights the profound importance of cultural values, historical legacy, and social capital in building, maintaining, and evolving cooperation among resource users, factors which can sometimes be overlooked or underestimated in purely economic or technical approaches to resource management [12, 13, 15]. The resilience of the bisses demonstrates that collective action, when supported by appropriate institutional design and strong community bonds, can indeed lead to long-term sustainable outcomes for vital shared resources.

CONCLUSION

The traditional irrigation systems of the Swiss Alps, exemplified by the "bisses" of Valais, stand as a powerful and compelling testament to the effectiveness of cooperative management of common-pool resources. Their remarkable and sustained viability is not merely a historical curiosity but a vibrant, living demonstration of effective self-governance and adaptive capacity in the face of changing environmental and socioeconomic conditions. The adherence to key design principles, including clearly defined boundaries, context-specific and adaptable rules, robust collective-choice arrangements, and effective monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, has been instrumental in their enduring success. Moreover, the strong social capital, deeply embedded norms of reciprocity, and the evolving cultural and ecological appreciation of these systems contribute significantly to their resilience and long-term sustainability. While facing ongoing challenges inherent in maintaining traditional infrastructure in a modern context, the inherent adaptive capacity and strong community ties within these irrigation associations provide a compelling and instructive model for sustainable resource management globally. The lessons gleaned from these Alpine communities offer invaluable insights for promoting cooperation, fostering local ownership, and ensuring the long-term sustainability of

shared resources worldwide

REFERENCES

1. Batchelor, Charles H., Chris Lovell, and A.J. Semple. "Garden irrigation for improving agricultural sustainability in dryland areas." *Land Use Policy* 11 (1994): 286–93.

2. Grove, Dick, and Jack M. Grove. "Traditional montane irrigation systems in modern Europe: an example from Valais, Switzerland." *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 33 (1990): 181–86.

3. Meinzen-Dick, Ruth. "Beyond panaceas in water institutions." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America* 104 (2007): 15200–05.

4. Trawick, Paul B. "Successfully Governing the Commons: Principles of Social Organization in an Andean Irrigation System." *Human Ecology* 29 (2001): 1–25.

5. Bekele, Zerihun, and Ketema Tilahun. "On-farm performance evaluation of improved traditional small-scale irrigation practices: a case study from Dire Dawa area, Ethiopia." *Irrigation and Drainage Systems* 20 (2006): 83–98.

6. Al-Marshudi, Ahmed Salim. "Traditional irrigated agriculture in Oman—operation and management of the Aflaj system." *Water International* 26 (2001): 259–64.

7. Funnell, Donald C. "Intervention and indigenous management: the geography of small-scale irrigation development in Morocco and Swaziland." *Land Use Policy* 11 (1994): 45–54.

8. Vincent, Linden. "Lost chances and new futures: Interventions and institutions in small-scale irrigation." *Land Use Policy* 11 (1994): 309–22.

9. Kreutzmann, Hermann, ed. *Sharing Water. Irrigation and Water Management in the Hindukush-Karakoram-Himalaya*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.

10. Barsimantov, James, Alex Racelis, Kelly Biedenweg, and Maria Digiano. "When collective action and tenure allocations collide: Outcomes from community forests in Quintana Roo, Mexico and Petén, Guatemala." *Land Use Policy* 28 (2011): 343–52.

11. Blaikie, Piers. "Is small really beautiful? Community-based natural resource management in Malawi and Botswana." *World Development* 34 (2006): 1942–57.

12. Clary, Betsy Jane. "Broadening the Concept of Rational Economic Behavior: A Case Study of Cheese Making at the Abbey of Tamie." *Review of Social Economy* 65 (2007): 165–86.

13. Ellerman, David P. "Entrepreneurship in the Mondragon Cooperatives." *Review of Social Economy* 42 (1984): 272–94.

14. Varone, Frederic, Emmanuel Reynard, Ingrid Kissling-Näf, and Corine Mauch. "Institutional resource regimes: The case of water in Switzerland." *Integrated Assessment* 3 (2002): 78–94.

15. Vatn, Arild. "Resource regimes and cooperation." *Land Use Policy* 24 (2006): 624–32.

16. Ostrom, Elinor, Roy Gardner, and James Walker. *Rules, Games, and Common-Pool Resources.* Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 1994.

17. Dinar, Ariel, Shlomi Dinar, Stephen McCaffrey, and Daene McKinney. *Bridges over Water—Understanding Transboundary Water Conflict, Negotiation and Cooperation.* Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 2007.

18. Myerson, Roger B. *Game Theory Analysis of Conflict.* Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1997.

19. Ostrom, Elinor. *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action.* New York: Cambridge University Press, 1990.

20. Ostrom, Elinor. "A Long Polycentric Journey." *Annual Review of Political Science* 13 (2010): 1–23.

21. Ostrom, Elinor, and Roy Gardner. "Coping with asymmetries in the commons: Self-governing irrigation systems can work." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 7 (1993): 93–112.

22. Gellrich, Mario, and Niklaus E. Zimmermann. "Land abandonment in the Swiss mountains: A spatial statistical analysis at the regional scale." *Landscape and Urban Planning* 79 (2007): 65–76.

23. Zurwerra, Richard. "Wiesenbewässerung im Wallis—zwischen Tradition und Moderne." *Geomatik Schweiz* 10 (2010): 454–57.

24. Lehmann, Bernard. "Wie viel und welcher Teil der landwirtschaftlichen Nutzflächen im Berggebiet sollen in Zukunft noch genutzt werden?" In *Conference on 'Berglandwirtschaft: Minimalnutzung als Teil der Multifunktionalität'*, Zurich, Switzerland, 19 March 2010.

25. Rodewald, Raimund, Bruno Baur, Simon Birrer, Peter Knoepfel, and Andreas Rigling. "Water Channels for Biodiversity and Tourism." Project Proposal, National Research Program 59, Swiss National Science Foundation, Bern, Switzerland. 2011.

26. Kissling-Näf, Ingrid, Thomas Volken, and Kurt Bisang. "Common property and natural resources in the Alps: The decay of management structures?" *Forest Policy and Economics* 4 (2002): 135–47.

27. Reynard, Emmanuel. "Cadre institutionel et gestion des ressources en eau dans les Alpes: deux études des cas dans des stations touristiques valaisannes." *Swiss Political Science Review* 6 (2000): 53–85.

28. Varian, Hal Ronald. *Microeconomic Analysis.* New York: W.W. Norton, 1992.

29. Luce, Robert Duncan, and Howard Raiffa. *Games and Decisions—Introduction and Critical Survey.* New York: Wiley, 1967.

30. Aumann, Robert John. *Repeated Games with Incomplete Information.* Cambridge: MIT Press, 1995.

31. Axelrod, Robert. *The Evolution of Cooperation.* New York: Basic Books, 1984.

32. Axelrod, Robert, and Douglas Dion. "The further evolution of cooperation." *Science* 242 (1988): 1385–90.

33. Bendor, Jonathan, and Dilip Mookherjee. "Institutional structure and the logic of ongoing collective action." *American Political Science Review* 81 (1987): 129–54.

34. Fudenberg, Drew, and Erik Maskin. "The Folk Theorem in Repeated Games with Discounting or with Incomplete Information." *Econometrica* 54 (1986): 533–54.

35. Matsushima, Hitoshi. "Long-term partnership in a repeated prisoner's dilemma with random matching." *Economics Letters* 34 (1990): 245–48.

36. Axelrod, Robert. "An evolutionary approach to norms." *American Political Science Review* 80 (1986): 1095–111.

37. Fehr, Ernst, and Simon Gächter. "Altruistic punishment in humans." *Nature* 415 (2002): 137–40.

38. Hirshleifer, David, and Erik Rasmusen. "Cooperation in a repeated prisoners' dilemma with ostracism." *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 12 (1989): 87–106.

39. Nikiforakis, Nikos, and Hans-Theo Normann. "A comparative statics analysis of punishment in public-good experiments." *Experimental Economics* 11 (2008): 358–69.

40. Ostrom, Elinor, James Walker, and Roy Gardner. "Covenants With and Without a Sword: Self-Governance is Possible." *American Political Science Review* 86 (1992): 404–17.

41. Okada, Akira. "The possibility of cooperation in an n-person dilemma with institutional arrangements." *Public Choice* 77 (1993): 629–56.

42. Dawes, Robyn M. "Social Dilemmas." *Annual Review of Psychology* 31 (1980): 169–93.

43. Kollock, Peter. "Social dilemmas: The anatomy of cooperation." *Annual Review of Sociology* 24 (1998): 183–214.

44. Beckenkamp, Martin, Heike Hennig-Schmidt, and Frank P. Maier-Rigaud. "Cooperation in Symmetric and Asymmetric Prisoner's Dilemma Games." 3/2007. Preprints of the Max Planck Institute for Research on

Collective Goods, Bonn, 2006, no. 2006/25. Available at: http://ssrn.com/abstract=968942.

45. Spreafico, Manfred, and Rolf Weingartner. "Hydrologie der Schweiz. Rapports de l'OFEG, Série Eaux—Rapporti dell'UFAEG." Serie Acque Nr. 7, Berne. 2005.

46. Viviroli, Daniel, and Rolf Weingartner. "Water Towers—A global view of the hydrological importance of mountains." In *Mountains: Sources of Water, Sources of Knowledge*, edited by Ellen Wiegandt, Dordrecht: Springer, 2008, pp. 15–20.

47. Crook, Darren S., and Anne M. Jones. "Design principles from traditional mountain irrigation systems (bisses) in the Valais, Switzerland." *Mountain Research and Development* 19 (1999): 79–99.

48. Bratt, Guy. *The Bisses of Valais—Man-made Watercourses in Switzerland.* Buckinghamshire: Gerrards Cross, 1995.

49. Gerber, Johannes. *Die Suonen im Wallis.* Available online: www.suonen.ch (accessed on 10 September 2012).

50. Reynard, Emmanuel. "Les bisses du Valais, un exemple de gestion durable de l'eau?" *Lemaniques* 69 (2008): 1–8.

51. Weber, Michael, and Andreas Schild. *Stand der Bewässerung in der Schweiz—Bericht zur Umfrage 2006.* Bern: Swiss Federal Office for Agriculture, 2007.

52. Reynard, Emmanuel. "Réhabilitation de canaux d'irrigation de montagne à des fins touristiques—L'example des bisses du Valais (Suisse)." *L'eau, l'industrie, les nuisances* 213 (1998): 24–30.

53. Netting, Robert. "The system nobody knows: Village irrigation in the Swiss Alps." In *Irrigation's Impact on Society*, edited by McGuire Gibson and Theodore E. Downing, Tucson: University of Arizona Press, 1974, pp. 67–75.

54. Reynard, Emmanuel. "Hill irrigation in Valais (Swiss Alps): Recent evolution of common-property corporations." In *Farmer Managed Irrigation Systems in the Changed Context*, edited by Prachanda Pradhan and Upendra Gautam, Proceedings of the Second International Seminar, Kathmandu, Nepal, 2002, pp. 343–61.

55. Reynard, Emmanuel. "Transporter l'eau: Regards croisés sur les réseaux urbains et ruraux de l'eau en Suisse." *Flux* 72 (2008): 27–38.

56. Kaestli, Elisabeth. "Die Suonen sprudeln wieder." *Umwelt* 1/06 (2006): 38–39.

57. NZZ. "Wasserleitungen als historisches Erbe." *Neue Zuercher Zeitung (NZZ)*, 2012. Available online: http://www.nzz.ch/aktuell/schweiz/wasserleitungen-als-historisches-erbe-1.17177365 (accessed on 10 September 2012).

58. Switzerland Tourism. "Les Bisses / Suonen - Historic Waterways." Available online: http://www.myswitzerland.com/en//destinationen/wasserland-schweiz/wandern-entlang-der-suonen-im-wallis.html (accessed on 10 September 2012).

59. Gerber, Johannes. *Wandern an Sagenhaften Suonen. Wandertouren.* Brig: Rotten Verlag, 2008.

60. Schipper, Ori. "Demokratisch und oekologisch." *Horizonte* 9 (2011): 8–10.

61. Kizos, Thanasis, Anastasia Dalaka, and Theodora Petanidou. "Farmers' attitudes and landscape change: evidence from the abandonment of terraced cultivations on Lesvos, Greece." *Agriculture and Human Values* 27 (2010): 199–212.

62. Swiss Federal Statistical Office. "Regional indicators—Per capita cantonal income." Available online: www.bfs.admin.ch (accessed on 10 September 2012).

63. Jack, B. Kelsey, Carolyn Kousky, and Katharine R.E. Sims. "Designing payments for ecosystem services: Lessons from previous experience with incentive-based mechanisms." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America* 105 (2008): 9465–70.

64. BLW. "Agrarpolitik 2014–2017." Bundesamt für Landwirtschaft (BLW). Available online:

http://www.blw.admin.ch/themen/00005/00044/01178/index.html?lang=de (accessed on 10 September 2012).