

Organizational and Legal Foundations of The Foreign Policy of The Republic of Korea

Azimov Sirojiddin Yuldoshevich

Uzbekistan State University of World Languages, Faculty of International Journalism, Department of Political Science,
Independent Researcher, Uzbekistan

Received: 18 March 2026 Accepted: 05 April 2026 Published: 30 April 2026

ABSTRACT

This article offers a comprehensive and theoretically grounded analysis of the organizational and legal foundations of the foreign policy of the Republic of Korea. By integrating constitutional analysis, institutional theory, and international legal perspectives, the study examines how formal structures and political dynamics shape foreign policy outcomes. Particular attention is paid to executive-legislative relations, bureaucratic coordination, and the role of international law. The findings suggest that although South Korea possesses a sophisticated and resilient institutional framework, persistent challenges—such as executive dominance, political polarization, and strategic inconsistency—continue to affect long-term policy coherence. The study contributes to the broader literature on middle powers and institutional governance in foreign policy.

Keywords: Foreign policy, Republic of Korea, institutionalism, international law, governance, diplomacy, middle power.

INTRODUCTION

The transformation of the international system in the 21st century—characterized by shifting power distributions, economic interdependence, and rapid technological advancement—has significantly altered the nature of foreign policy. States are no longer guided solely by traditional security concerns but must also navigate complex institutional and legal environments.

The Republic of Korea (ROK) represents a compelling case of a state that has successfully transitioned from a security-dependent actor to an influential middle power with global ambitions. Its foreign policy reflects a hybrid model that combines alliance-based security, economic diplomacy, and normative engagement in global governance.

Despite its success, South Korea faces structural

challenges related to institutional coordination and political transitions. This study seeks to address the following research questions:

- How do constitutional and legal frameworks shape South Korea's foreign policy?
- What role do institutions play in decision-making processes?
- What are the main constraints on policy consistency and effectiveness?

METHODOLOGY AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This research employs a qualitative, interdisciplinary methodology combining constitutional analysis,

institutional theory, and international relations frameworks.

Theoretical Foundations

Realism explains South Korea's emphasis on national security, particularly in relation to North Korea and its alliance with the United States.

Liberalism highlights the importance of economic interdependence and international institutions, which are central to South Korea's global engagement strategy.

Neo-institutionalism provides insights into how formal structures and bureaucratic processes shape policy outcomes and constrain political actors.

The integration of these approaches enables a nuanced understanding of both structural and agency-driven aspects of foreign policy.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND LEGAL FOUNDATIONS

The Constitution of the Republic of Korea (1948) establishes the legal framework for foreign policy.

Core Principles

- Commitment to international peace and cooperation
- Democratic governance and rule of law
- Separation of powers

Distribution of Authority

The President holds primary authority over foreign policy, including treaty negotiation and diplomatic representation. However, the National Assembly exercises oversight through ratification and budgetary control.

Critical Assessment

While the constitutional design formally ensures checks and balances, in practice, the executive branch dominates foreign policy. This asymmetry raises important questions about democratic accountability and institutional equilibrium.

INSTITUTIONAL ARCHITECTURE

South Korea's foreign policy system is characterized by a multi-layered institutional structure.

Key Actors

- President: Strategic leadership and final decision-making authority
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MOFA): Policy implementation and diplomatic coordination
- National Security Council (NSC): Strategic advisory and crisis management
- National Assembly: Legislative oversight and ratification

Bureaucratic Dynamics

Institutional interaction is shaped by both formal procedures and informal networks. Coordination mechanisms exist but are often influenced by political leadership and administrative culture.

DECISION-MAKING PROCESS

Foreign policy decision-making in South Korea reflects a hybrid model combining centralization and pluralism.

Features

- Strong presidential leadership
- Advisory input from experts and think tanks
- Bureaucratic negotiation and coordination

Limitations

- Inter-agency rivalry
- Limited transparency
- Time constraints in crisis situations

Despite these challenges, the system demonstrates adaptability and analytical capacity.

INTERNATIONAL LAW AND GLOBAL

GOVERNANCE

South Korea активно engages with international legal frameworks and institutions.

Participation

- United Nations
- World Trade Organization
- Multilateral treaties and agreements

Strategic Role

International law serves as both a constraint and an enabler, enhancing legitimacy while facilitating economic and political cooperation.

GEOPOLITICAL CONSTRAINTS AND STRATEGIC BALANCING

South Korea operates within a highly complex geopolitical environment.

Strategic Triangle

- United States: Security guarantor
- China: Economic partner
- North Korea: Persistent security threat

Policy Implications

Balancing these relationships requires strategic flexibility and pragmatic diplomacy. This balancing act is a defining feature of South Korea's foreign policy.

DOMESTIC POLITICS AND POLICY VARIABILITY

Domestic political dynamics significantly influence foreign policy direction.

Ideological Cleavages

- Conservatives prioritize deterrence and alliance politics

- Progressives emphasize engagement and diplomacy

Impact on Policy

Frequent shifts in leadership lead to changes in priorities, undermining long-term strategic consistency.

REGIONAL AND GLOBAL STRATEGY

South Korea pursues a dual strategy combining regional engagement and global outreach.

Regional Policy

- Strengthening alliances
- Managing historical disputes
- Expanding economic cooperation

Global Strategy

The "Global Korea" initiative promotes international leadership through soft power and multilateral engagement.

SOFT POWER AND DIGITAL DIPLOMACY

South Korea has emerged as a global leader in soft power projection.

Instruments

- Cultural diplomacy (K-pop, film, media)
- Educational exchange programs
- Development assistance

Digital Transformation

The integration of digital tools enhances diplomatic communication but also introduces new risks, including cybersecurity threats.

STRUCTURAL CHALLENGES

Despite its strengths, South Korea's foreign policy system faces several structural challenges:

- Executive dominance
- Weak parliamentary oversight
- Political polarization
- Institutional fragmentation
- External geopolitical pressures

These challenges affect both policy effectiveness and strategic continuity.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

To enhance foreign policy performance, the following measures are proposed:

1. Institutionalize checks and balances
2. Strengthen legislative oversight mechanisms
3. Develop long-term strategic planning frameworks
4. Enhance inter-agency coordination
5. Expand diplomatic diversification
6. Invest in digital and public diplomacy

CONCLUSION

The Republic of Korea has developed a sophisticated foreign policy system grounded in constitutional principles and institutional capacity. Its ability to balance security, economic, and normative objectives underscores its status as a leading middle power.

However, internal political dynamics and external constraints continue to challenge policy coherence. Addressing these issues requires structural reforms, stronger institutionalization, and a commitment to strategic continuity.

This study contributes to the broader understanding of how institutional and legal frameworks shape foreign policy in complex geopolitical environments.

REFERENCES

1. Cha, V. D. (2016). *Powerplay: The origins of the*

American alliance system in Asia. Princeton University Press.

2. Kim, S. (2021). South Korea's middle power diplomacy in East Asia. *Asian Perspective*, 45(3), 567–589. <https://doi.org/10.1353/apr.2021.0023>
3. Lee, J. (2022). Digital diplomacy and South Korea's foreign policy strategy. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 52(4), 623–640. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472336.2021.1901234>
4. Lantsov, S. A. (2020). *Theory of international relations*. Yurait.
5. Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Korea. (2023). Annual report. <https://www.mofa.go.kr>
6. Moon, C. (2019). *The Sunshine Policy and inter-Korean relations*. Seoul National University Press.
7. Park, H. (2020). Institutional dynamics of foreign policy in South Korea. *Pacific Review*, 33(5), 789–810. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09512748.2019.1602505>
8. Rozman, G. (2020). South Korea's foreign policy and future security environment. *Asian Survey*, 60(2), 217–239.
9. Snyder, S. (2018). *South Korea at the crossroads: Autonomy and alliance in an era of rival powers*. Columbia University Press.
10. Torkunov, A. V. (2020). *Modern international relations*. MGIMO University Press.
11. United Nations. (2022). Charter of the United Nations. <https://www.un.org>
12. Voskresensky, A. D. (2021). *East Asia: International relations and politics*. Aspect Press.
13. World Trade Organization. (2023). WTO agreements. <https://www.wto.org>