CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICS (ISSN: 2767-3278)

VOLUME: Vol.07 Issue11 2026
Page: - 01-09

RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Applying Gestalt Principles to Art Education and Visual Learning

Camille Laurent Moreau

Department of Educational Sciences, Faculty of Education, University of Lyon, Lyon, France

Received: 07 September 2026 **Accepted:** 03 October 2026 Published**:** 01 November 2026

ABSTRACT

Gestalt theory provides a theoretical foundation for understanding how individuals organize visual information, recognize relationships among visual elements, and construct meaningful perceptions. Its application to art education offers opportunities to strengthen visual literacy, compositional awareness, creative interpretation, and the integration of perception with artistic practice. This paper examines the relevance of Gestalt principles to art education and visual learning through a qualitative, conceptual review of the 18 references supplied. The analysis focuses on perceptual organization, figure–ground relationships, visual grouping, closure, similarity, proximity, continuity, and the relationship between perception and artistic expression. It also develops a conceptual instructional framework connecting visual observation, perceptual analysis, artistic experimentation, reflective interpretation, and assessment. The synthesis suggests that Gestalt-oriented instruction can help learners understand how visual components interact to produce coherent artistic compositions and how perceptual organization influences interpretation. However, its effectiveness depends on pedagogical context, learner experience, cultural interpretation, and the balance between perceptual structure and creative freedom. As a conceptual review, the paper does not claim empirical validation or causal effects. It establishes a theoretically grounded framework for future classroom-based research and the systematic integration of Gestalt principles into art education.

Keywords: Gestalt theory, art education, visual learning, perceptual organization, visual literacy, artistic composition, creative learning, visual perception, instructional design.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and research problem

Art education involves more than developing technical proficiency in drawing, painting, ceramics, and other visual practices. It also requires learners to understand how visual elements interact, how compositions communicate meaning, and how viewers interpret relationships among shapes, colors, lines, spaces, and textures. Visual perception therefore represents a fundamental dimension of artistic learning. Students who recognize individual elements without understanding their relationships may produce technically competent work that lacks compositional coherence or communicative clarity.

Gestalt theory provides a useful theoretical perspective for addressing this problem. It emphasizes that perception involves the organization of visual elements into meaningful wholes rather than the passive accumulation of isolated sensations. Wertheimer's foundational work on Gestalt psychology and subsequent discussions of its theoretical development provide a basis for understanding perceptual organization as a structured process (Wertheimer, 1938; Guberman, 2017). In art education, this perspective directs attention toward relationships between parts and wholes, foreground and background,

visual grouping, and compositional balance.

Arnheim's examination of Gestalt and art is particularly relevant because it connects perceptual organization with artistic experience and visual form (Arnheim, 1943). From this perspective, artistic understanding depends not only on recognizing objects but also on perceiving the relationships that organize them into meaningful configurations. A student analyzing a painting, for example, must consider how the placement of shapes, contrast, spatial relationships, and directional movement guide attention and influence interpretation.

Despite its conceptual relevance, the educational application of Gestalt theory requires careful consideration. Perceptual principles may explain aspects of visual organization, but they do not independently account for artistic intention, cultural symbolism, emotional interpretation, or individual creativity. The central research problem is therefore how Gestalt principles can be translated into an instructional framework that supports visual understanding without reducing art education to a fixed set of compositional rules.

1.2 Research objectives

This paper has four objectives. First, it examines the theoretical relationship between Gestalt psychology, visual perception, and artistic practice. Second, it synthesizes the supplied literature to identify major educational applications and conceptual gaps. Third, it proposes an instructional framework for integrating perceptual analysis with artistic experimentation and reflection. Fourth, it discusses the implications, limitations, and future research directions associated with Gestalt-oriented art education.

1.3 Scope and significance

The study is limited to a conceptual analysis of the references supplied by the user. It does not introduce external literature, conduct original classroom experiments, or claim that the proposed framework has been empirically validated. Its contribution lies in organizing existing conceptual discussions into a coherent educational model that can inform lesson design, studio activities, visual analysis, and future empirical investigation.

The significance of this approach is that it connects theoretical knowledge with observable learning activities. Rather than teaching Gestalt principles as isolated definitions, educators can use them to help learners explain why a composition appears unified, why certain elements attract attention, and how changes in spatial organization alter the visual meaning of an artwork.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical foundations of Gestalt theory

Gestalt psychology provides the primary theoretical foundation for understanding visual organization. Wertheimer (1938) situates perception within a broader account of how configurations are experienced as organized wholes. Guberman (2017) revisits Gestalt theory and its intellectual foundations, supporting the continued examination of its conceptual relevance. Together, these works provide a basis for considering perception as an organized process rather than simply the recognition of separate visual components.

Kohler (1980) contributes to the broader theoretical discussion of Gestalt psychology, while Luchins and Luchins (1999) examine isomorphism in Gestalt theory through a comparison of Wertheimer's and Kohler's concepts. These works are relevant to art education because they encourage attention to the relationships between perceptual organization and the structures through which experience is understood. Nevertheless, theoretical concepts should be translated into educational practice cautiously; their relevance does not establish that every perceptual principle produces a predictable learning outcome.

Arnheim (1943) provides the most direct connection between Gestalt theory and artistic practice. His work supports an interpretation of art in which relationships among visual components contribute to the organization and meaning of the whole. This perspective establishes a theoretical rationale for teaching students to analyze composition, contrast, visual balance, and structural relationships instead of concentrating exclusively on the identification of objects.

2.2 Visual perception and artistic interpretation

Research concerning visual perception in art education extends the theoretical discussion into artistic observation and interpretation. Güven (1996) addresses visual perception in pictures, while Ünlü (2017) explicitly

connects art education and visual perception. Beyoglu (2015) examines perception, visual perception, and illusions in art education through works associated with Conscience Vasarely. These references collectively indicate the relevance of perceptual processes to the interpretation of visual artworks.

Dağdeviren and Gögebakan (2022) investigate the relationship between psychoanalysis, Gestalt visual perception theory, imaginary space, pictorial expression, and painting. Their topic broadens the discussion beyond formal composition by directing attention toward the relationship between perceptual organization and expressive interpretation. Sirmali (2020) addresses the application of perceptual diversity in art education through Gestalt principles, offering a particularly relevant connection between perceptual theory and instructional practice.

These perspectives suggest that visual learning involves both the recognition of compositional structures and the interpretation of their effects. A painting may appear unified because its elements share visual characteristics, yet the same unity may be disrupted intentionally to communicate tension. Consequently, Gestalt principles are best treated as analytical resources rather than universal prescriptions for artistic correctness.

2.3 Gestalt theory in instructional and design contexts

Several supplied references connect perceptual theory with educational design. Chang et al. (2002) examine Gestalt theory in visual screen design, suggesting a useful connection between perceptual organization and the presentation of visual information. Erişti et al. (2013) address the design of an interactive teaching environment based on theories of visual perception and students' views of that environment. Although these contexts are not identical to studio-based art education, they offer relevant conceptual perspectives on organizing visual material to support learning.

Gunay (2007) considers Gestalt theory and urban planning education, extending the discussion to the perception of spatial relationships. Kaplan (2014) examines the application of Gestalt theories of visual perception to ceramic techniques within the Bauhaus School. Yelmen's work on Gestalt in ceramic design, particularly the principle of completion and the "Vessels Hide" series, also connects perceptual organization with material and artistic practice.

These references suggest that Gestalt theory can be considered across two complementary educational domains: the interpretation of existing visual compositions and the creation of new ones. The first involves analyzing how visual relationships shape perception; the second involves deliberately manipulating those relationships during artistic production.

2.4 Art education, learning, and conceptual gaps

Bilge (2004) provides a broader educational context through the psychology of development and learning. Safitri et al. (2021) connect Gestalt theory with learning through understanding, while Kılıç and Parsıl (2023) address art education from theoretical and practical perspectives. These works support consideration of how perceptual principles may be integrated into broader educational objectives.

However, the supplied literature varies in subject matter, scope, and publication detail. Some references focus on psychological theory, others on artistic interpretation, ceramics, instructional environments, or spatial design. They should not be treated as equivalent forms of evidence, and the available reference information does not permit reliable comparison of their empirical methods or effect sizes.

A central conceptual gap is the absence of a unified instructional sequence within the supplied material that explicitly connects perceptual observation, interpretation, artistic experimentation, and assessment. The present paper addresses this gap by proposing a conceptual framework for integrating these activities. It does not claim that no such frameworks exist elsewhere; rather, it identifies a synthesis that can be developed from the references available for this review.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research design

This study adopts a qualitative, conceptual review design to examine how Gestalt principles can be incorporated into art education and visual learning. The approach is appropriate because the research objective is to synthesize theoretical perspectives, identify relationships among educational concepts, and develop an instructional

framework rather than measure the effectiveness of a specific intervention.

The analysis uses the 18 references supplied for the study. These references are organized into four conceptual categories: foundational Gestalt theory, visual perception and artistic interpretation, instructional and environmental design, and art education and learning. This classification enables comparison across related fields while preserving the differences between theoretical discussions and educational applications.

The methodological process consists of three stages. First, the references are examined for their conceptual relevance to perception, composition, artistic expression, and learning. Second, recurring themes are organized into instructional dimensions. Third, these dimensions are integrated into a proposed framework that links perceptual observation with artistic production and reflective assessment.

This method does not constitute a systematic review because no comprehensive database search, predefined screening protocol, or formal quality appraisal was conducted. The findings should therefore be interpreted as a structured conceptual synthesis rather than an exhaustive assessment of the literature.

3.2 Analytical dimensions

Five dimensions guide the analysis.

The first dimension is perceptual organization, which concerns how visual components are grouped and interpreted as coherent configurations. The second is compositional relationships, including figure–ground organization, similarity, proximity, continuity, and closure. The third is artistic interpretation, which considers how formal arrangements influence attention and the perception of meaning. The fourth is instructional application, focusing on activities that enable learners to investigate visual relationships through observation and experimentation. The fifth is reflective assessment, which examines how students explain their artistic decisions and evaluate the effectiveness of their compositions.

These dimensions provide a consistent analytical structure for comparing the supplied references. The connection between Gestalt theory and artistic organization is particularly important because the instructional framework must address both the visual structure of artworks and the interpretive decisions involved in creating them (Arnheim, 1943).

3.3 Proposed Gestalt-oriented instructional framework

The study proposes a five-stage instructional framework.

Stage 1: Visual Observation

Identify shapes, lines, colors, textures, spatial relationships, and focal elements.

Stage 2: Perceptual Analysis

Examine grouping, figure–ground relationships, similarity, proximity, continuity, and closure.

Stage 3: Artistic Experimentation

Modify composition, spacing, contrast, scale, and visual emphasis.

Stage 4: Interpretation and Reflection

Explain how visual organization influences meaning, attention, and artistic expression.

Stage 5: Assessment and Revision

Evaluate perceptual reasoning, compositional decisions, creative intent, and revisions.

The framework is intended to support iterative rather than strictly linear learning. Assessment may reveal a need to revisit perceptual analysis, while reflection may encourage further experimentation. This iterative structure connects theoretical understanding with practical artistic development.

3.4 Practical implementation

A hypothetical classroom activity illustrates the framework. Students receive a composition containing several geometric forms, overlapping shapes, contrasting colors, and areas of empty space. Initially, they identify individual elements and describe their arrangement. They then analyze which elements appear connected, which dominate attention, and how the background affects the perception of the foreground.

Next, students produce alternative versions of the composition by changing spacing, color relationships, or shape placement. One version might emphasize visual unity through similarity and proximity, while another might create tension through contrasting forms and disrupted continuity. Students compare the alternatives and explain how the modifications affect the perceived organization of the work.

Assessment considers the accuracy of perceptual observations, the reasoning behind artistic decisions, the relationship between formal choices and intended meaning, and the learner's capacity to revise a composition. This approach avoids evaluating artistic quality solely through adherence to predefined visual rules.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Finding 1: Perceptual organization provides a foundation for visual understanding

The conceptual synthesis identifies perceptual organization as the central connection between Gestalt theory and art education. The supplied references address Gestalt psychology, visual perception, and artistic interpretation from complementary perspectives (Wertheimer, 1938; Kohler, 1980; Güven, 1996; Ünlü, 2017).

The main implication is that visual learning should involve the examination of relationships among elements rather than the recognition of isolated objects alone. In a painting, for example, a group of similar shapes may appear connected even when the shapes are physically separated. Similarly, a change in background contrast may alter the perceived prominence of a figure without changing its actual dimensions.

These examples illustrate how perceptual organization can be converted into practical learning tasks. Students can compare alternative arrangements and explain why one appears unified, balanced, fragmented, or visually dominant. The proposed framework therefore treats perception as an analytical activity that can be practiced and discussed.

However, this finding is conceptual rather than experimental. The supplied references do not provide a common dataset from which the magnitude of learning improvements can be calculated. Consequently, the analysis supports the instructional relevance of perceptual organization without establishing a measurable causal effect on student achievement.

4.2 Finding 2: Gestalt principles connect composition with artistic expression

The second finding concerns the relationship between perceptual structure and artistic meaning. Arnheim's discussion of Gestalt and art provides an important theoretical basis for interpreting artistic compositions through relationships among visual elements (Arnheim, 1943). The references addressing visual perception and artistic illusion further establish the relevance of perceptual analysis to art education (Beyoglu, 2015; Dağdeviren & Gögebakan, 2022).

Similarity and proximity can help explain why viewers perceive separate elements as belonging to a group. Continuity can clarify how directional lines guide attention across a composition, while closure can explain how viewers perceive incomplete forms as meaningful wholes. Figure–ground organization is particularly useful when the relationship between a subject and its surrounding space determines the composition's visual emphasis.

The instructional significance lies in enabling students to use these principles deliberately. A student may use similarity to create unity across a painting or interrupt similarity to emphasize conflict. An artist may use incomplete forms to invite perceptual completion rather than presenting every detail explicitly.

The analysis also identifies an important limitation: unity is not necessarily the primary objective of every artwork. Fragmentation, ambiguity, asymmetry, and visual conflict can be intentional expressive strategies. Accordingly, Gestalt principles should help learners understand the effects of their choices rather than prescribe a single ideal composition.

4.3 Finding 3: Gestalt theory can support practical and interdisciplinary learning

The third finding is that perceptual principles can be integrated into diverse educational activities. Chang et al. (2002) address visual screen design, Erişti et al. (2013) examine an interactive teaching environment, and Gunay (2007) connects Gestalt theory with urban planning education. Kaplan (2014) and Yelmen's work extend the

discussion to ceramic design and artistic practice.

These different contexts suggest that perceptual organization is relevant to more than conventional drawing or painting lessons. Students can examine the arrangement of interface elements, the visual hierarchy of an exhibition, the organization of architectural spaces, or the relationship between shape and surface in ceramic objects.

For example, an assignment involving ceramic forms could ask students to compare repeated shapes with irregular arrangements. They might examine how spacing and continuity affect the perceived unity of a group of objects. In digital design, learners could investigate whether grouping related visual controls makes an interface easier to understand.

Nevertheless, transfer across disciplines should not be assumed to occur automatically. Understanding a visual grouping principle in a painting does not necessarily mean a student can apply it successfully to a digital interface or three-dimensional object. Instruction must make the relationship between the original task and the new application explicit.

4.4 Finding 4: A structured instructional sequence may strengthen reflective learning

The fourth finding concerns the integration of observation, experimentation, interpretation, and revision. The educational perspectives represented by Bilge (2004), Safitri et al. (2021), and Kılıç and Parsıl (2023) provide context for considering the relationship between conceptual understanding and educational practice.

The proposed framework translates perceptual principles into a sequence of observable learning activities. Rather than asking students only to define closure or similarity, instructors can ask them to identify the principle in an artwork, modify its visual arrangement, and explain the consequences of that modification.

This structure makes learning processes more visible. A student's explanation can reveal whether the principle is understood, whether the student can apply it, and whether the resulting composition serves the intended expressive purpose. Reflective discussion also provides opportunities to recognize that different viewers may interpret the same arrangement differently.

The framework does not establish that a five-stage sequence is superior to alternative teaching methods. Its contribution is to organize the supplied conceptual material into a practical model that can be tested, modified, and evaluated in future educational research.

4.5 Finding 5: The educational value of Gestalt theory depends on contextual application

The final finding is that perceptual principles provide analytical guidance but cannot independently explain the full complexity of artistic learning. The supplied literature covers theoretical psychology, artistic interpretation, visual design, and educational practice, but the references do not collectively establish standardized instructional outcomes.

Artistic meaning may depend on symbolism, historical context, cultural conventions, personal experience, and the creator's intentions. A composition that appears unified to one viewer may communicate tension or unfamiliarity to another. Likewise, a deliberate violation of conventional visual grouping may represent an important creative decision rather than an error.

The proposed framework therefore places perceptual analysis alongside interpretation and reflection. Students should be encouraged to explain both how a composition is organized and why its organization is appropriate for a particular artistic purpose.

Overall, the findings support a conceptual position: Gestalt theory offers a coherent basis for structuring visual observation and compositional analysis, while its educational application requires contextual judgment, creative flexibility, and empirical evaluation.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Theoretical implications

The findings reinforce the relevance of Gestalt theory to art education by positioning perceptual organization as a connection between seeing, interpreting, and creating. Rather than treating visual elements as independent components, a Gestalt-oriented approach examines the relationships through which compositions acquire coherence, emphasis, and expressive character.

This interpretation aligns with the theoretical connection

between Gestalt principles and artistic organization presented by Arnheim (1943). It also complements the foundational perspectives represented by Wertheimer (1938), Kohler (1980), and Guberman (2017). Together, these references provide a conceptual basis for understanding visual perception as an organized process.

The theoretical contribution of the present framework is its integration of perception with an educational sequence. Students are not expected merely to recognize patterns; they are encouraged to analyze, manipulate, and interpret them. This distinction matters because theoretical knowledge becomes educationally meaningful when learners can use it to explain or improve their own work.

However, the relationship between perceptual organization and artistic meaning remains complex. Formal organization can influence attention and interpretation, but it cannot fully determine meaning. A symmetrical arrangement may suggest stability in one context and rigidity in another. Similarly, visual fragmentation may indicate disorder, movement, or deliberate experimentation, depending on the work's purpose.

Consequently, Gestalt theory should be integrated with broader interpretive discussion rather than used as a self-sufficient explanation of artistic experience.

5.2 Practical implications for art educators

The proposed framework offers several practical implications for teaching. First, educators can make perceptual principles explicit through comparative visual exercises. Students can examine two compositions that contain similar elements but differ in grouping, contrast, or spatial arrangement. The comparison helps learners identify the consequences of compositional choices more precisely than observation alone.

Second, teachers can integrate Gestalt analysis into studio practice. During painting, drawing, or ceramic design, students can experiment with repeated forms, spatial intervals, visual continuity, and figure–ground relationships. Kaplan (2014) and Yelmen's work on ceramic design provide relevant contexts for connecting perceptual theory with material practice.

Third, assessment can focus on reasoning as well as the finished artwork. Students may be asked to explain why they grouped certain shapes, why they disrupted a pattern, or how a change in contrast altered the viewer's attention. Such explanations allow teachers to assess conceptual understanding without reducing creative achievement to compliance with rules.

Fourth, visual analysis can extend beyond traditional art forms. The relationship between Gestalt principles and visual screen design identified by Chang et al. (2002), alongside the instructional environment considered by Erişti et al. (2013), suggests potential applications in digital media and interactive learning environments.

These implications should be implemented flexibly. Learners differ in prior experience, observational skills, and preferred modes of expression. A uniform set of exercises may therefore provide unequal opportunities for demonstrating understanding. Teachers should combine guided analysis with open-ended activities that permit individual interpretation.

5.3 Implications for visual learning and instructional design

Visual learning involves the interpretation of relationships, not simply exposure to images. An instructional environment may contain visually attractive materials yet remain difficult to navigate if its elements are poorly organized. The design-related literature in the supplied references supports examining how the arrangement of visual information relates to educational experience (Chang et al., 2002; Erişti et al., 2013).

In art education, this suggests that instructional materials should demonstrate perceptual principles clearly without eliminating visual complexity. For example, a teacher might first use a simple arrangement to illustrate proximity and then introduce a more complex painting in which proximity interacts with color, texture, and spatial depth.

This progression may help students transfer their understanding from simplified examples to authentic artworks. However, transfer should be assessed directly rather than assumed. Learners may identify a principle in a controlled exercise but struggle to recognize its role in a composition containing multiple competing visual relationships.

Digital tools could support such learning by allowing students to rearrange shapes, alter color contrast, or compare alternative compositions. Yet technology is not

inherently beneficial. Its value depends on whether the activity encourages analysis and meaningful experimentation or merely provides additional visual stimulation.

5.4 Trade-offs and limitations

A central trade-off concerns the relationship between compositional coherence and creative freedom. Gestalt principles can help learners produce visually organized work, but overemphasizing them may encourage formulaic compositions. Students may begin to regard balance, continuity, or closure as mandatory outcomes instead of understanding them as possible artistic strategies.

A second limitation concerns interpretive diversity. Visual perception is influenced by the organization of an image, but interpretation is also shaped by cultural experience, prior knowledge, and context. A pedagogical model that focuses exclusively on formal structure risks neglecting the social and symbolic dimensions of art.

A third limitation is methodological. This paper uses the references supplied by the user and does not independently verify their bibliographic details or evaluate the full texts of all works. Some references contain limited publication information, and the available details do not permit a consistent assessment of their research designs. The synthesis must therefore be understood as conceptual rather than as evidence of a demonstrated instructional effect.

Finally, the proposed five-stage framework remains unvalidated. Its effectiveness may vary according to student age, educational level, artistic discipline, lesson duration, and teacher expertise. Classroom-based studies are needed to determine whether the framework improves perceptual reasoning, composition, reflective explanation, or other clearly defined outcomes.

5.5 Directions for future research

Future studies could evaluate the proposed framework through classroom-based comparative research. One group could receive instruction incorporating explicit Gestalt analysis, while another could follow an alternative art education approach. Both groups could complete comparable artistic tasks, with outcomes assessed through predefined measures of visual analysis, compositional reasoning, creative expression, and reflective explanation. Mixed-method research could combine rubric-based assessment with interviews, student reflections, and analysis of successive artwork revisions. This would help distinguish improvements in technical composition from changes in conceptual understanding.

Further research could compare applications across painting, ceramics, digital illustration, and interface design. Such studies could establish whether learning transfers across visual domains and identify which instructional activities support that transfer.

Research should also investigate how cultural background and individual interpretation influence students' responses to perceptual organization. Rather than assuming universal agreement about what constitutes unity or visual balance, researchers could examine both shared perceptual tendencies and meaningful differences in interpretation.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper examined the application of Gestalt principles to art education and visual learning through a qualitative, conceptual synthesis of 18 supplied references. The analysis identified perceptual organization as a useful foundation for understanding visual composition, artistic interpretation, and the organization of learning activities.

The proposed five-stage framework connects visual observation, perceptual analysis, artistic experimentation, interpretation, and assessment. It provides a practical structure through which educators can help learners examine relationships among visual elements and apply perceptual concepts to their own artistic decisions.

The principal contribution is the integration of Gestalt theory with an iterative instructional model that emphasizes both analytical understanding and creative flexibility. The framework treats perceptual principles as resources for artistic inquiry rather than fixed rules for producing correct compositions.

Nevertheless, the study has important limitations. It is not a systematic review, does not include original empirical data, and cannot establish causal relationships between Gestalt-oriented instruction and student achievement. Its conclusions are conceptual and should be tested through classroom research.

Future investigations should evaluate the framework

across educational levels and artistic disciplines, examine the transfer of perceptual knowledge, and consider the influence of cultural and individual differences. Through such research, Gestalt theory may contribute to more deliberate, reflective, and analytically grounded approaches to art education while preserving the interpretive openness essential to artistic practice.

REFERENCES

1. Arnheim, R. (1943). Gestalt and art. Journal of Aesthetics and Art Criticism,2(8), 71-75.
2. Beyoglu, A. (2015). Perception, Visual Perception and Illusions in Art Education: A Study on the Works of Conscience Vasarely. Trakya University Journal of Social Sciences,17(1), 333-348.
3. Bilge, F. (2004). Psychology of development and learning. (Edt.), Yeşilyaprak, B., Pegem Akademi Yayıncılık.
4. Chang, D., Dooley, L., & Tuovinen, J. E. (2002). Gestalt theory in visual screen design: A new look at an old topic.
5. Dağdeviren, B., & Gögebakan, Y., (2022). The effect of psychoanalysis and Gestalt visual perception theory on the formation of imaginary space in terms of pictorial expression and its reflections on the art of painting. Art and Man, 103-115.
6. Erişti, S. D., Uluuysal, B., & Dindar, M. (2013). Design of an interactive teaching environment based on theories of visual perception and students' view of the environment. Anatolian International Journal of Educational Sciences,3(1), 47-66.
7. Guberman, S. (2017). Gestalt theory revised: Returnto Wertheimer—frontiersin Psychology, 8, 1782.
8. Gunay, B. (2007). Gestalt theory and urban planning education.
9. Güven, H. N. (1996). Visual perception in the picture.
10. Kaplan, S. (2014). Application of Gestalt theories of visual perception with ceramic basic techniques within the Bauhaus School (Ph.D. Thesis, Anadolu University (Turkey)).
11. Kılıç, A. G., & Parsıl, U. (2023). A theoretical and practical review: Art Education. Pearson Journal, 8(25), 671-686.
12. Kohler, W. (1980). Gestalt psychology. Belo Horizonte: Itatiaia.
13. Luchins, A.S., & Luchins, E. H. (1999). Isomorphism in Gestalt theory: a comparison of the concepts of Wertheimer and Kohler. Gestalt Theory,21(3), 208-234.
14. Safitri, S. I., Saraswati, D., &; Wahyuni, E. N. (2021). Gestalt theory (enhancing learning through the process of understanding). et-Thullab: Journal of Madrasa Ibtidaiye Teacher Education,5(1), 23-31.
15. Sirmali, E. (2020). Application of diversity of perception in art education with Gestalt principles. International Journal of Art Design and Education, 1 (1), 17-24.
16. Ünlü, M. M. (2017). Art education and visual perception. Accra Journal of Culture, Arts and Literature,5(13), 193-202.
17. Wertheimer, M. (1938). Gestalt psychology. Sourcebook of Gestalt Psychology. New York: Harcourt,Brace and Co.
18. Yelmen, A. G. F. Traces of the Gestalt in Ceramic Design: The Principle of Completion in Algida and the Case of the "Vessels Hide" Series Abstract.