CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCES (ISSN -2767-3758)

VOLUME of ISSUE of Pages: 53-57

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2022: 6. 041) (2023: 7. 491) (2024: 8.235)

OCLC - 1242423883











Publisher: Master Journals



Journal Website: https://masterjournals. com/index.php/crjps

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.



FEATURES OF PHRASEOLOGICAL UNITS WITH THE NAMES OF FLORA: PLANTS AND NATURE

Submission Date: May 11, 2024, Accepted Date: May 16, 2024,

Published Date: May 21, 2024

Crossref doi: https://doi.org/10.37547/philological-crips-05-05-11

Jamila Karimova

Associate Professor Of The Department Of Foreign Languages In Social And Humanitarian Studies Of Tashkent State Pedagogical University Named After Nizami, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

This article devoted to the lexical-semantic analysis of English phraseological units with phytonym component. The subject of this paper is a lexical and semantic analysis and characteristics of such phraseological units. Special attention is given to lexical and semantic features of phytonyms functioning. The use of the term "phytonym" is defined. The problem of studying the semantics of phraseological units is one of the most important in theoretical linguistics, so the study of the meaning of language units, its structure occupies one of the main places in modern linguistic research. The language meaning is explained by its dissimilarity, because of the formation of different sides of the language and is determined by the lexical and semantic systems of the language, as a result of reflecting the socially objective reality.

KEYWORDS

Phraseological Unit, Phytonym, Seme, Lexical Meaning, Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

The motivation for the creation of any language phraseological fund is the efficient phase of the formation of various PU modifications. Linguistic literature provides numerous PU classifications according to the degree of equivalence. The phraseological fund of any language is a

knowledge base and folk wisdom, which is a condensed form of the precedent texts contained in its units. It includes fixed expressions of various degrees of fusion, from stable phrases, idioms, cliches, proverbs, sayings to paremiological means [1]. The variety of fixed expressions, their

CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCES

(ISSN -2767-3758)

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 05 Pages: 53-57

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2022: 6. 041) (2023: 7. 491) (2024: 8.235)

OCLC - 1242423883











Publisher: Master Journals

specifics, construal and evaluative make it possible to view phraseology not only as a branch of science but also a source of various linguistic studies.

For a long time, the research of phraseology by processing empirical facts was conducted in order to organize phraseological units, to study their evolution, to design a comparative typology [2], to study collocations, or functioning. Semantic, structural and functional features phraseological unit separate it from other language units by a set of characteristics [1]. The lexical meaning of a phraseological unit is one of its categorical features, which can be realized not only in the text but also in the context, revealing practically in lexicographic description and interpretation. Variables, lexical combinations of words that are exposed to phraseology, due to the reinterpretation of meaning, result in the stability of phraseological units. The people's need in refined phrases that enrich the language and often are the only expressions of particular content in the phraseological world view, which cannot be expressed by separate words, is undoubtedly facilitated by this process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Attention to phytonymy is based on the fact that the words nominating plants establish and reflect the cognitive development of the world of flora in culture. Thereby, the study of phytonyms allows solving terminological, linguo culturological, and ethnolinguistic problems. One of the pressing challenges concerning the history of phytonymic vocabulary research is the definition of the

semantic extension "phytonym", as there is no commonly accepted interpretation of this term, despite its extensive use in linguistics. Phytonyms are defined as units of botanical nomenclature and opposed to scientific naming units of plants [3]. Words belonging to this lexical group have important features that should be taken into account when studying.

The reason for linguists paying special attention to flora is that plants occupy one of the key places in human culture. The use of phytonyms continues mythological, religious and literary traditions harking back to the archetypes of language cultures. Phytomorphism is associated with an early form of reasoning, and concepts induced by this form are held in language. Phytonyms continues to attract the attention of many researchers, and currently, their studying is widely spread. They are considered in different types of discourse (literary, poetic, scientific, and political); based on the material of only one language and on the comparison between languages. Despite the variety of approaches used by the different authors, they are of the idea that the flora is universal, making it possible to consider one of the oldest ways of modelling reality.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The phytonymic world view, associated with the flora conceptualization, is one of the promising directions that study the mosaic piece of linguistic world view. There are a lot of works in modern non-fiction on phytonymic vocabulary analyses in different languages and world linguo cultures. Scientific papers describe the phenomena and,

CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCES (ISSN -2767-3758)

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 05 Pages: 53-57

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2022: 6. 041) (2023: 7. 491) (2024: 8.235)

OCLC - 1242423883









Publisher: Master Journals

despite a huge number of articles in the field of phytonym studying, try to provide its exhaustive unambiguous definition. And, whereas some scientists include them in the terminological system, others include such vocabulary in the nomenclature. There is also an opinion that phytonyms have a number of terminological characteristics, stably associated with the denotation and have a generic word of a lexicasemantic group. Phytonymy is considered as a link for lexemes of identical semantic proximity, which have an integrated semantic feature that associatively includes separated details. Thus, phytonyms, as signifiers of the flora extra linguistic context, are not only significant lexemes but also evoke a pragmatic and evaluative component in the mind of a native speaker. If we take a phytonym as a generative element or a plant title, then we can distinguish a lexical set in this lexical-semantic group.

Many plants are symbols of England. These symbols allow exploring features of people's culture, its values, traditions, and realism. The symbol is a sign of reality, bearing not only the objective and figurative but also cultural meanings. Plants can represent mythological ideas about the world around and concretize it, which allows considering the former as prototypical symbols [4].

There are two groups of the estimated potential of phytonyms:

estimated component can be determined by objective plant properties: features usefulness appearance, for practical applications, edibility or inedibility, etc.

The estimated component determined by culturological features of plants, by religious and mythological origins of plant names. Semantic features in the linguistic view of the world provide the most important function - a systemic one: according to semantic features, which are common to a number of definitions, lexical units form lines, groups and paradigms, i.e. the lexical language system. As a type of semantic features, it plays a great significance in the meaning (semantic) structure.

The emotional component cannot appear in a word without estimation. Connotative seems to add the additional, relative to denotation, meanings to the definition [5].

In the present study, phytonym is a condition for revealing the semantic meaning of phraseological units and exposing their connotations. The continuous sampling method defines that in authentic sources of actual material, phraseological layer is heterogeneous includes phraseological units with phytonym components that describe different aspects of human life and activities. This layer of actual material can be studied using a variety of lexical sets, on the basis of connotative and pragmatic components. The selected units are: as fresh as a daisy and a shrinking violet.

The definitions of the English phraseological unit a shrinking violet are: 1) "a quiet person who cannot defend their own interests" [1], "shy person who doesn't express their views and opinions" [6], 2) "a very shy or unassuming person".

As a result of the analysis of English phraseological units with a phytonym component, we can

CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCES

(ISSN -2767-3758)

VOLUME 05 ISSUE 05 Pages: 53-57

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2022: 6. 041) (2023: 7. 491) (2024: 8.235)

OCLC - 1242423883











Publisher: Master Journals

distinguish the following groups according to what these idioms refer to:

1) Appearance.

This group includes lexical units based on the similarity of appearance to the described items: strawberry mark

- "a permanent dark red mark on a person's skin that has existed since birth". The phytonym carrot is often used in phraseological expressions: many carrot top people have green eyes. Carrot top means a person whose hair is an orange colour. Another English phraseological characterizes the appearance is as fresh as a daisy: I met Molly the other day. She looked as fresh as a daisy. The next phraseological unit of this group is as like as two peas in a pod- "very similar, or identical. The Cambridge English Dictionary of Idioms states that this phraseological unit means "to be very similar, look, behave or think exactly the same". This idiom characterizes the formal resemblance of two objects, as it has the semi "similarity, identity" in its meaning.

Personality traits. 2)

This group includes phraseological units formed through the re-interpretation of a plant's properties. The phraseological unit a man of straw has a semi "unreliability, fragility". The Modern English Dictionary defines straw as "the long, dried stems of plants easy to break". This property is used for the formation of the phraseological unit a man of straw - "someone who has a weak character". Another phraseological unit of this group is a shrinking violet, which means "a timid or shy person". The violet is a symbol of modesty and purity of thoughts in the English-speaking

culture. The phraseological unit small dog, tall weeds contain the phytonym weeds as one of the components, which means "any wild plant that grows in an unwanted place, especially in a garden or field where it prevents the cultivated plants from growing freely". Therefore, the meaning of this phraseological unit is "someone who does not have the ability or the resources necessary to perform a task".

3) Similarity of performance.

This group includes phraseological units that are based on a metaphorical reinterpretation of actions and the objects of which are phytonyms. The meaning of the phraseological unit to make two bites of cherry is "to make the excessive effort to complete a very easy task", as cherry is a small berry, usually eaten in one bite, so when using this idiom to describe an action, the speaker implies that the there is too much (unnecessary) effort taken. So, there is a semi "meaninglessness, inexpediency" the in meaning phraseological unit. The phraseological unit to nip in the bud means "to stop something before it has an opportunity to become established". The etymology of this phraseological unit is due to the meaning of the lexical item bud: a part of a plant that develops into a leaf or a flower, and if you destroy it, there will be neither a leaf nor a flower developed.

CONCLUSION

In the present article, the phytonym is a condition for revealing the semantic potential phraseological units and exposing connotations. The lexical-semantic analysis of

CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCES (ISSN -2767-3758)

VOLUME of ISSUE of Pages: 53-57

SJIF IMPACT FACTOR (2022: 6. 041) (2023: 7. 491) (2024: 8.235)

OCLC - 1242423883











Publisher: Master Journals

phraseological units with the phytonym component proved their influence on everyday life realities and on the language of people. The study of phytonyms contributes to the knowledge of the specifics of the cultural life of people, their way of life and traditions. Based on the above, it can be concluded that phraseological units-phytonyms are quite diverse in semantic terms, which allow them to be classified into appropriate thematic groups, identified on the basis of connotative and pragmatic meaning. This contributes to their systematization and makes it possible to carry out a further prospective comparative analysis, including in non-related languages.

REFERENCES

- Koonin, A. V. (2000). English Phraseology. 1. Theoretical Course Science.-2001.-285 p.
- Mayor, M. (2019). Longman dictionary of 2. contemporary English. Pearson Education India.
- Natkho, O. I., & Sardalova, L. R. (2020). 3. Cognitive-Discursive Functions of the English Language Paremiological Units. Актуальные Проблемы Филологии и Педагогической Лингвистики, (1), 59–68.
- Qizi, M. M. E. (2019). Proverbs as 4. Проблемы paremiological units. Педагогики, (4 (43)).
- Spears, R. A. (2015). 5. McGraw-Hill's Dictionary of American Slang Colloquial Expressions: The Most Up-to-Date Reference for the Nonstandard Usage, Popular Jargon, and Vulgarisms of Contempos. McGraw Hill Professional.

Watson, O. (2016). Longman modern 6. English dictionary. Longman London.