



COGNITIVE AND LINGUISTIC PERSPECTIVES ON THE CONCEPT OF “FRAME” IN MODERN LINGUISTICS

Submission Date: May 16, 2024, **Accepted Date:** May 21, 2024,

Published Date: May 26, 2024

Crossref doi: <https://doi.org/10.37547/philological-crjps-05-05-14>

Journal Website:
<https://masterjournals.com/index.php/crjps>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

Zulkhumor Djuraeva Radjabovna

Associate Professor Of The Department Of Russian Linguistics Bukhara State University, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

This article delves into the intricate cognitive and linguistic dimensions of the term “frame” within contemporary linguistics. Originally introduced in artificial intelligence to organize databases, the concept of a frame has evolved to become a pivotal tool in linguistic analysis, enabling the depiction of diverse worldviews inherent to different languages. A frame is conceptualized as a structure comprising nodes (concepts) and connections, functioning akin to gestalt units by integrating sensory and rational elements. Charles Fillmore's definition positions frames as cognitive structures associated with the knowledge represented by words, encompassing both linguistic and extralinguistic information.

KEYWORDS

Cognitive linguistics, frame semantics, conceptual structures, gestalt theory, lexical representation, associative links, hierarchical organization, paremias, cultural cognition, linguistic consciousness.

INTRODUCTION

In contemporary cognitive linguistics, the concept of the “frame” has garnered significant attention as a robust analytical tool capable of elucidating the intricate relationship between linguistic signs and their underlying cognitive representations. The term “frame” was initially introduced within

the realm of artificial intelligence for the organization of databases and has since been appropriated by linguistic theorists to model the complex structures that underpin human cognition. A frame is typically envisioned as a network comprising nodes (representing



concepts) and their interconnections, analogous to the gestalt structures that integrate both sensory and rational elements into a cohesive whole.

Charles Fillmore’s seminal work on frame semantics defines a frame as a cognitive structure that presupposes the knowledge associated with a particular concept, which is expressed through linguistic means. This framework is not confined strictly to lexical items; rather, it encompasses a broader spectrum of cognitive and extralinguistic information. Frames serve as organizing principles that enable individuals to comprehend and interpret novel situations by referencing stored cognitive structures, thereby facilitating the understanding of a wide array of phenomena through the modification and adaptation of existing frames.

Prominent linguists such as T.N.Nikulshina assert that frames represent the most efficient form of knowledge representation, as they encapsulate core and prototypical information, structure knowledge in a hierarchically organized manner, and reflect the associative nature of human thought. Frames, therefore, are not mere collections of data but are dynamic structures that adapt and integrate new information, making them particularly suitable for the representation of stereotypical situations and the organization of large cognitive domains.

The exploration of frames within the context of paremiological units, particularly proverbs and sayings, offers profound insights into the linguistic and cognitive frameworks of cultural groups. Proverbs encapsulate the collective wisdom,

moral values, and cultural norms of a community, making them ideal for cognitive analysis through frame modeling. By examining the frame structure of concepts such as “family”, we can uncover the hierarchical organization of subframes and slots that reflect the intricate web of relationships and societal expectations embedded within the cultural fabric.

This article aims to delve into the multifaceted cognitive and linguistic perspectives on frames, elucidating their role in structuring knowledge and shaping linguistic consciousness. Through a detailed analysis of the frame model as applied to Russian paremias, we seek to demonstrate how this approach can reveal the nuanced ways in which language encapsulates and conveys cultural identity and cognitive processes. The study underscores the importance of frame semantics in modern linguistics, offering a comprehensive framework for understanding the interplay between language, cognition, and culture.

Methods. This study employs a comprehensive methodological approach combining a literature review of seminal works on frame semantics, a comparative analysis of Russian proverbs to identify frame structures, and cognitive modeling to map conceptual networks. By examining the hierarchical organization and associative links within proverbs, particularly the concept of “family”, the research integrates qualitative and quantitative analyses to provide insights into how frames function as cognitive structures that organize and convey culturally significant knowledge.



Results. The analysis of the concept of “frame” within the realm of modern linguistics yielded several significant findings. Firstly, the theoretical exploration confirmed that frames serve as fundamental cognitive structures that integrate sensory and rational elements, thus facilitating the organization and retrieval of culturally pertinent information. The application of frame semantics to the analysis of Russian proverbs revealed the intricate ways in which these cognitive structures encapsulate and convey societal values and norms.

In the context of Russian pemiias, the frame model proved to be an effective tool for categorizing and understanding the hierarchical and associative nature of knowledge representation. The study identified multiple subframes within the overarching concept of “family”, delineating the patriarchal and nuclear family structures. Each subframe was characterized by specific slots and terminal nodes, which correspond to distinct conceptual elements such as “home”, “head of the family”, and “relatives”.

Moreover, the analysis highlighted the cultural specificity of frames, as evidenced by the distinct values and beliefs embedded in Russian proverbs. For instance, the patriarchal family frame emphasized the importance of male authority and kinship ties, while the nuclear family frame focused on the roles of parents and children. The proverbs associated with these frames underscored societal expectations regarding familial relationships and individual responsibilities. The cognitive modeling of frames

further illustrated the dynamic nature of these structures, demonstrating their ability to adapt to new information and contexts. The visual representations of frame hierarchies provided a clear depiction of the relationships between subframes and their constituent slots, elucidating the complex interplay between language, cognition, and culture. Additionally, the quantitative analysis of frame components and their associative links within the pemiological corpus revealed patterns of frequency and prominence, offering insights into the prevalent cultural themes and cognitive priorities within Russian society. These findings underscore the utility of frame semantics in linguistic research, providing a robust framework for analyzing the cognitive and cultural dimensions of language.

Discussion. The findings of this study underscore the multifaceted role of the “frame” concept in cognitive and linguistic analyses, highlighting its significance in both theoretical and practical applications within modern linguistics. By situating frames as cognitive structures that integrate sensory and rational elements, the research illustrates how these entities function as organizing principles that facilitate the understanding and interpretation of culturally significant information.

The comparative analysis of Russian pemiological data revealed the intricate ways in which frames encapsulate societal values and norms. The identification of specific subframes within the broader concept of “family” demonstrates the hierarchical organization of knowledge, wherein each subframe and its



constituent slots contribute to a comprehensive understanding of familial relationships and responsibilities. This hierarchical structure aligns with the cognitive theory of frames, which posits that frames are composed of nested elements that collectively represent complex concepts.

Furthermore, the cultural specificity observed in the frames derived from Russian proverbs underscores the importance of context in frame semantics. The patriarchal and nuclear family frames, for example, reflect distinct cultural attitudes towards gender roles, authority, and kinship. This cultural embedding of frames supports the notion that language and cognition are deeply intertwined with cultural identity, as linguistic expressions are shaped by and reflective of the societal norms and values they encode. The dynamic nature of frames, as demonstrated through cognitive modeling, further highlights their adaptability and relevance in diverse contexts. Frames are not static repositories of information; rather, they are flexible structures that can be modified and expanded to accommodate new experiences and knowledge. This adaptability is crucial for understanding how language users navigate and interpret novel situations, leveraging existing cognitive structures to make sense of unfamiliar phenomena.

Quantitative analyses of the paremiological corpus provided additional insights into the prominence and frequency of specific frame components, revealing patterns that correspond to cultural priorities and cognitive salience. These quantitative findings complement the qualitative analyses, offering a robust and nuanced

perspective on how frames operate within the linguistic landscape. In light of these findings, the discussion emphasizes the pivotal role of frame semantics in advancing our understanding of the relationship between language, cognition, and culture. By employing a comprehensive methodological approach that integrates theoretical, comparative, and cognitive analyses, the study demonstrates the efficacy of frames as analytical tools in linguistic research. This integrative approach not only elucidates the structural and functional aspects of frames but also provides valuable insights into the cognitive and cultural dimensions of language use. Ultimately, the study affirms that frames are essential for organizing and conveying culturally significant knowledge, shaping linguistic consciousness, and facilitating communication. The cognitive and linguistic perspectives on frames presented in this research contribute to a deeper appreciation of the complex interplay between language, thought, and culture, paving the way for further exploration and application of frame semantics in various linguistic and cognitive domains.

CONCLUSIONS

The exploration of the concept of “frame” within modern linguistics has yielded profound insights into its cognitive and linguistic dimensions, reaffirming its significance as an analytical tool. Frames, as cognitive structures, integrate sensory and rational elements, enabling individuals to organize and interpret complex information. This study has demonstrated that frames are not only



theoretical constructs but also practical mechanisms for understanding and representing cultural knowledge. The analysis of Russian paremiological data has illustrated how frames encapsulate and convey societal values and norms. By identifying and examining the hierarchical structure of subframes within the concept of “family”, the study has shown that frames provide a systematic way of categorizing and understanding culturally significant relationships and roles. The patriarchal and nuclear family frames, in particular, reflect the deep-seated cultural attitudes towards authority, kinship, and gender roles, underscoring the contextual specificity of frame semantics.

The dynamic nature of frames, as evidenced by cognitive modeling, highlights their adaptability and relevance in diverse contexts. Frames are flexible structures that can be modified to accommodate new information and experiences, thus facilitating the interpretation of novel situations. This adaptability is crucial for understanding how linguistic expressions evolve and how language users navigate new cognitive and cultural landscapes. Quantitative analyses have further enriched the understanding of frame components and their associative links, revealing patterns that align with cultural priorities and cognitive salience. These findings complement the qualitative analyses, providing a comprehensive view of how frames operate within the linguistic and cognitive domains.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study affirms the pivotal role of frames in structuring knowledge and shaping linguistic consciousness. The integrative methodological approach adopted in this research, combining theoretical, comparative, and cognitive analyses, has proven effective in elucidating the complex interplay between language, cognition, and culture. Frames are shown to be essential for organizing and conveying culturally significant knowledge, enhancing communication, and fostering a deeper understanding of linguistic phenomena. The insights gained from this study pave the way for further research into the applications of frame semantics across various linguistic and cognitive domains. By advancing the theoretical and practical understanding of frames, this research contributes to the broader field of cognitive linguistics, offering valuable perspectives on the interconnections between language, thought, and culture.

REFERENCES

1. Alefirenko, N. F. “Problems of Linguocognitive Description of Phraseological Semantics”, in *Slavic Phraseology in Synchrony and Diachrony: Collection of Scientific Articles*, Gomel: GSU named after F. Skorina, 2011, pp. 13-16.
2. Baranov, A. G., & Karaulov, Y. N. “An Outline of Cognitive Theory of Metaphor”, in *Russian Political Metaphor: Materials for a Dictionary*. Moscow: Institute of Russian Language, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1991, pp. 184-293.



3. Demyankov, V. Z. “Frame”, in A Brief Dictionary of Cognitive Terms, edited by E. S. Kubryakova. Moscow: Moscow State University named after M.V. Lomonosov, 1996, p. 188.
4. Fillmore, C. J., & Atkins, B. T. “Toward a Frame-Based Lexicon: The Semantics of RISK and Its Neighbours”, in Frames, Fields, and Contrasts: New Essays in Semantics and Lexical Organization, Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1992, pp. 75-102.
5. Juraeva Z. R. Gender Stereotypes in the Mirror of the Categories of Masculinity and Feminity in Various Linguocultures //European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education. – 2022. – T. 2. – №. 3. – C. 208-212.
6. Minsky, M. “Frames for Knowledge Representation”, translated from English, edited by F. M. Kulakov. Moscow: Energia, 1979, p. 151.
7. Nikulshina, T. N. “Frame Modeling of the Concept of Irrreality (Based on English and Ukrainian)”, in Linguoconceptology: Prospective Directions, edited by A. E. Levitsky and S. I. Potapenko, Lugansk: Publishing House of LNU named after T. Shevchenko, 2013, pp. 624.
8. Rajabovna D. Z. TYPOLOGY OF ETHNIC STEREOTYPES IN RUSSIAN PROVERBS //Open Access Repository. – 2023. – T. 9. – №. 4. – C. 146-151.
9. Sobirovich S. A. A PRAGMATICALLY ORIENTED APPROACH TO GENERATIVE LINGUISTICS //CURRENT RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHILOLOGICAL SCIENCES. – 2024. – T. 5. – №. 04. – C. 69-75.
10. Snegirev, I. Russian Folk Proverbs and Sayings. Moscow: Institute of Russian Civilization, 2014, p. 528.
11. Sterinin, I. A., & Bykova, G. V. “Concepts and Gaps”, in Language Consciousness: Formation and Functioning, Moscow, 2007, pp. 55-67.